Previous references.

1903. 04.
(6 Nos)

File No. 86 of 1903-07

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later references.

Nos 10-11 F of this file

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 9.

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Re the employment of the Public Prosecutor of Madura for the conduct of the prosecution of J.H Rama Rao & Siddappa another for preparing a fraudulent claim to the value of a currency note last by J.H. Rama Rao.

1 3241 Dt. 22-12-1903 from the Dy. Comm. Madura. 1000/-
Requests that arrangements may be made for engaging the services of the Public Prosecutor of Madura to conduct the prosecution on behalf of the Govt. of Madras of one J. H. Rama Rao for preparing a fraudulent claim

2. 1334/J 31-12-1903. to the Dy. Comm. Madura
Requests that a full & intelligible memo of the facts of the case may be furnished at an early date.

G. R. S. 58

3. 336 D 6-1-1904 from the Dt: Magte: Kadur. J 1044
Forwards the records with memo.

4. K 23/1 D 20-1-1904. to the Govt Resident
Forwards the memo of claim that the Hon'ble
the Resident approves of the Public
Prosecutor of Kadur will be asked
to conduct the prosecution on behalf
of the Govt: of Paper Currency Madras.

5. H40 D 27-1-1904. from the Govt Resident J 1155
States that the propriety of employment
of the Public Prosecutor of Kadur to
conduct of the prosecution of
Rama Rao is approved.

6. 1504/1 D 3-2-1904. to the Dt: Magte: Kadur.
Intimates the above & states that a bill
for pay table to the Public Prosecutor
should be submitted in due course
after the disposal of the case.

7. H37 D 11-3-1904 from the Dy Comm Kadur J 1422
States that the services of Mr. S. V Doraiappa
Public Prosecutor have been engaged to
prosecute H Rama Rao & others

8. 503/1 D 21-3-1904. from the Dy. Comm Kadur:
Records the above letter.

9. 2414/1 D 14-5-1904 to the Govt Resident J 1707
Forwards, in original, letter No. 1496
D 11-5-1904 from the Dy Comm. Kadur
to the Govt: of Paper Currency, Madras
informing the result of the trial
ending in conviction of Rama
Rao & Siddappa

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any) J.7.86 Jos 04

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Judicial

Subject Prosecution of J. H. Rama Rao of Kadur & 20 others for preferring a fraudulent claim for the value of a currency note, one half of which he alleges to have lost —

Letter No 324 dated 28th December 1903 from the Dy. Comr, Kadur Dt, requesting that the services of the Public Prosecutor may be ~~required~~ in communication with the Hon'ble the Resident, be engaged to conduct the — —

The Dy. Comr submits copies of correspondence between himself and the Commissioner of Paper Currency, Madras, in the matter of the fraudulent claim put forward by Jagalur H. Rama Rao of Kadur for the value of currency note No B 89090 for Rs 20 on the ground that the left half had been lost by him.

The Comr of Paper Currency Madras, States in his letter dt the

the Dyboam^rs, that no one could be spared from his office to conduct the prosecution and that the Dyboam^rs, may arrange in communication with the Hon^ble the Resident for the services of the Public Prosecutor being engaged to conduct the case.

The Dy. boam^r, requests that in communication with the Hon'ble the Resident, the services of the Public Prosecutor, may be engaged to conduct the prosecution before the 1st Asst to boam^r and 1st class magte, Kadur Dt.

The facts of the case are not clear either from the Dyboam^rs' letter or from its enclosures.

May copies of the Dyboam^rs correspondence between the boam^r of paper currency and the Dyboam^r, be forwarded to the Residency with a request that the Public Prosecutor, S. M. Station, Bangalore, may be instructed to place himself in communication with the Dyboam^r and the boam^r of paper currency, Madras, and to conduct the case

Rep- this Dub. Dept, but the ord attached to the Hon^ble Member is meant, I think. [illegible]

in question.?

Submitted for orders.

24/12/02 The facts given in the Dy Comrs letter are incapable of explanation. Let us call for full particulars. Some docket I have put up.

23/12/02

Docket issued —

In reply the Dy. Comr Submits a detailed memo of the case — from which it appears that one Jagalur Ramaka of Kadur having produced the right halves of two currency notes and the left half of one claimed from the Paper currency Office at Madras the payment of the value of those notes on the ground that he had the entire notes with him on the 18th October 1902, and lost their halves while travelling on the 16th January 1903 from Arsikere to Hassan. The fraud was detected in the currency Office where it was found that the lost half of one of the notes had been produced in 1897 by one Shekdar Rangama of Shimoga and so the entire note could

could not have been
in the possession of Rama
Rao as alleged

The Dy. Comr requests
that arrangements may
be made in Communication
with the Honble the Resident
in Mysore, for engaging
the services of the Public
Prosecutor to conduct
the prosecution of J. H.
Rama Rao & two others
before the 1st Asst Comr
of Police to Magte, Kadur

Submitted for orders

Dy Supt & D. A. Head Pstg.

Hr — 12.1.04
11/1/4

Hr Secretary

Draft issued

15188
14.1.04

In reply, the D. A. Resident
states that the proposed
employment of the Public
Prosecutor at Kadur for
the conduct of the prosecution
in the case referred in the
Cert 11/1/4 Ruled with draft to Dy Magte Kadur 14/1/04

Draft issued.

The Dy. Leader now reports that the services of Mr S. V. Dastoor Public Prosecutor, have been engaged in the case.

The employment of the Public Prosecutor has already been approved × vide this office letter No 1549 dated 3/2/04

The present letter may perhaps be recorded.

Submitted with a draft recording.

X 24 14/2
D 14-5 04

16/3/04

× The result of the local inquiry communicated to the Commissioner on Paper Licensing, Madras

Sl. no 1. 1000
J

1905 04

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments

Dated 23rd

Despatched 24 December 03.

Received

General No. 324
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Requesting to make
arrangements in
communication with
the Hon'ble the Resident
to engage the services
of the Public Prose-
cutor for conducting
the prosecution against
J. A. Rama Rao. —

26/12

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 23rd December 1903.

"

M. Narain Rao Esqre
Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District

To A. V. Nanjundayya Esqre M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In submitting the accompanying copy of correspondence between myself and the Commissioner of Paper Currency, Madras, ending with the said officer's letter No. 4279 of the 14th Instant in the matter of a fraudulent claim preferred by one J. H. Rama Rao of Kadur for the value of a currency note, one half of which he alleges to have lost, I have the honor to request that as desired by the Commissioner of Paper Currency, you will be so good to arrange in communication with the Honorable the British Resident in Mysore for the services of the Public Prosecutor being engaged to conduct the prosecution before the 7th

Assistant

Assistant Commissioner and 1st
Class Magistrate of this District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

M. Macaulay

Asst Deputy Commissioner
Kadra District

No 693.
Enc: 1.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 2d December 1903.

From

M. Narain Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner

To The Commissioner of Paper Currency
Madras.

Through the Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter
No 3071 dated the 2d October 1903 and
in continuation of my letter No 507
of the 20th idem, I have the honor
herewith
to forward for your information
copy of the 7th Assistant Commissioner's
letter No 28 of the 12th ultimo reporting
upon the result of the enquiry made
by him in the matter of a fraudu-
lent claim put forward by Jagalur
H. Rama Rao of Kadur for the value

of

of currency note No. B/30 89090 for Rupees 20/- on the ground that the left half of it had been lost by him and to state that I agree with the Assistant Commissioner in the opinion that the said Rama Rao may be prosecuted

(1) for making a false statement in a declaration made and subscribed by him and which declaration the Commissioner of Paper Currency was bound to receive as Evidence of the facts therein stated;

(See 199 Indian Penal Code).

(2) for corruptly using or attempting to use such false declaration (See 200 I.P.C.)

and (3) for attempting to cheat (Secs. 417 and 511 of the I.P.C.).

2. Kolar Krishna Rao and Gandhadi Siddappa may likewise be prosecuted for an offence under Sec 199 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The offences under Secs 199, 200 and 417 of the I.P.C. being non cognizable, it will be necessary if you wish the prosecution instituted in this District, that an official of your office should lodge a formal complaint before the 1st Class Magistrate of this District and take out warrants against the accused persons, bringing with him the Currency Note in question.

4. I have retained the file of papers sent by you and the record of the further enquiry instituted under my orders for production before the Magistrate by an official who may be deputed by you.

Thanks

(Sd) M. Narain Rao.

Dy. Commissioner

No 4279.

H.S. George
Accountant-General's
Paper Currency Deptt. Office
14th December 1903.

4

From The Commissioner of Paper Currency,
Madras,

To The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District,
Through the 1st Assistant to the
Honorable the Resident in Mysore. —

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No. 693 dated the 2d December 1903, Sug-
gesting that J. N. Rama Rao, the
claimant to value on Currency Note
No. B/30 89090 for Rs 20/- should be
prosecuted for an attempt to cheat,
and requesting that an officer
from this office may be deputed to
give a formal Complaint, etc, before
the 1st Class Magistrate of Kadur District,
I have the honor to state that no
one can be spared from this office
to conduct the prosecution. You may,
however, arrange in communication
with the Honorable the Resident in
Mysore for the Services of the Public
Prosecutor being engaged to Conduct
the Case. —

2. Please inform me whether the

the half note in question should be sent to the Public Prosecutor before he applies for a warrant against the accused, or whether it may be sent with the clerk who will be deputed from this Office to give evidence at the trial.

I have, etc

(Sd), Mr. Doblin.

Commissioner of Paper Currency

Copy

Mr. Macaulay

Deputy Commissioner

Kadina District

Sl. no 2

To

The Asst Commr,
Madras

No. 1334/J dated 31.12.03.

I/c letter no. 324 of the 23rd Dec 03, requesting that arrangements may be made for engaging the services of the Public Prosecutor to conduct the prosecution of one J. H. Adams here for preferring a fraudulent claim.

I am therefore to observe that official particulars of the case have not been given in the above, and to request that a full and intelligible memo: of the facts may be furnished at an early date, together with the records.

29.12.03
for Secy

Genl No 3 J/1049

9-1-04
11-1-04 1903-04

From The District Magistrate of Kadur.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 6th

Despatched

Received January 04.

General No. 336
No.

Enclosure No. Memo & Records

Forwarding a memo together with records connected with a fraudulent claim preferred by one J.H. Rama Rao to the Commissioner of Paper Currency, Madras. for the value of a currency note.

R
8.1.04
Nil. does not appear to appertain to this dept. To Revenue Dept
Genl Dept
Jud & Rev Genl
Optals
8/1904

District Magistrate's Office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 6th January 1904.

To
M. Narain Rao Esqre
District Magistrate of Kadur

A. V. Ranjendarya Iyer Esqre, M.A.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Deptt.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No. 1334 of the 31st ultimo, I have the
honor to forward herewith the
records, together with a Memo,
connected with a fraudulent
claim preferred by one J. H. Rama
Rao of Kadur to the Commissioner
of Paper Currency, Madras, for the
value of a currency note, one half
of which was alleged by him to
have been lost.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

M. Narain Rao
District Magistrate
of Kadur

Memo

On the 12th June 1903, one Jagatha N. Rama Rao of Kadur forwarded to the Office of the Commissioner of Paper Currency, Madras, the right halves of Currency notes nos B.A 63763. 41 for Rs 10/- and B 89090 for Rs 20/- 30 and the left half of note no B.A 41 81487 for Rs 10/- stating that the other halves were lost by him on his way to Hassan and claimed payment of the value of the said notes.

He also executed and forwarded to the said officer, on the 18th June 1903, the usual declaration stating that he had the entire notes with him on 18th October 1902 having received them from one Gundhadi Siddappa in exchange for cash and lost their halves while travelling on the 16th January 1903 from Arsikere to Hassan.

J.A

J. N. Rama Rao also furnished to the Paper Currency Office, declarations from the above said G. Siddappa, the person from whom he said he got the notes, and from Kolar Krishna Rao, the former, stating that he gave the notes in question to J. N. Rama Rao in October 1902, and the latter that J. N. Rama Rao brought the said notes to him in the same month & he cashed, and that he was therefore able to certify that J. N. Rama Rao had the full notes with him before he took the other halves.

The corresponding half of one of the above notes, viz No $\frac{B}{30}$ 89070 for Rs 20/-, was in possession of another person for a long time prior to October 1902. So long ago as 21st January 1897, one Sheikdar Rangama of Shimoga had reported to the Paper Currency Office, Madras, that he found the left half of the note

in

in question in a book among some old papers and stated that he had seen the entire note with his brother who died 8 years previously.

Rangama when asked by the Paper Currency Office whether he could prove the fact did not reply until the 18th July 1903, when he stated in reply to a reminder from the said Office that as he could not supply the information called for he had dropped the correspondence. The left half note referred to, since deposited by Rangama in the Paper Currency Office, was compared with the right half produced by J. H. Rama Rao and both halves were found to belong to the same note.

From the above it is quite clear that J. H. Rama Rao could neither have held the entire note for Rs 20/- no B/30 89090 in October 1902, nor could he have lost

lost the left half of it as alleged by him on 16th January 1903, and that his claim therefore is a fraudulent one.

At the instance of the Commissioner of Paper Currency, a Preliminary Inquiry was held by Mr. D'Souza, 1st Assistant Commissioner, in the matter and the result shews that Sufficient Grounds Exist for the prosecution of J. H. Rama Rao for offences under Sections 199, 200 and 417/511 of the Indian Penal Code, and of Gandhudi Siddappa and Kolankrishna Rao for an offence falling under Section 199 of the Indian Penal Code.

Mr Marain Rao

And District Magistrate

of Kadur

Ll. No 14.

No 4433

To

The First Asstt to the
Resdt in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference to Your Endorsement No. 4964 of the 14th Octr 1863 forwarding a letter addressed to the Dy Can Mastr of the Mint by the Commissioner of Paper Currency, Madras, reporting a fraudulent claim preferred by one J. B. Ramachetty of Madras to the value of a currency note, one half of which he alleges to have lost; and to the subsequent correspondence between the Dy Master & the Commissioner of Paper Currency, (through the Secretary to Govt in Fin. Dept) copy of which is forwarded herewith for ready reference & obliging return.

2. The enclosed copy of a memo by the Dy Master shows the facts of the case, and that there are sufficient grounds for the prosecution of J. B. Ramachetty & two others for offering

under Sec. 193, 200 & 417, 2571
of the Indian Penal Code

2. As the offences in question are non-cognizable, and as the Controller of Paper Currency represents his inability to spare any official from his office to ~~conduct~~ lodge a complaint before the 1st-Class Magistrate, Kasba Dt., and calls attention in regard to the conduct of prosecution, I am desired ~~to request that the Hon'ble the Resident may be moved to arrange for the engagement of the Public Prosecutor, Kasba, or any other competent person, to conduct the case on behalf of the Paper currency office~~ to state that if the Hon'ble the Resident approves, the Public Prosecutor of Kasba will be asked to conduct the Prosecution on behalf of the Controller of Paper Currency. The fees chargeable as per scale allowed for similar cases by the Govt will be submitted by him in due course for adjustment by the Controller Paper Currency Department.

Shanelle

12/1/04

16/1/04

16.1.04

16/1/04

Typed. 19/1/04

B. P. B.—12-03.—1,000.

Sl. no 5 — J 1155

Pol 1157

No. 440 Enr

FROM

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 27th January 1904.

SIR,

In reply to Mr. Nanjundayya's letter No.1433/J, dated 20th January 1904, I am directed to state that the proposed employment of the Public Prosecutor of Kadur for the conduct of the prosecution in the case referred to is approved.

2. The original enclosures received with the letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.
MSG.

15468/27.1.04.

06714 28.1.04

Sl. no 6 -

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government,
Secretariat, General & Revenue
Branch.

To The District Magte
Kadur Dt

Date of passing the draft 3/2/04.
Date of despatch

Regd. No. 15616 ✓
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls. / Back papers of Enclosure

Correspondence ending with his letter No 336 dated 6 January 1904 requesting that arrangement to be made in communication with the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor for conducting the prosecution in the 1st Assistant Sessions Magte's Court, Kadur Dt against one J. H. Rama Rao & 2 others who are accused of preferring a fraudulent claim for to the value of a currency note. ~~one of which is alleged to have been lost.~~

Notes

With reference to the above, has the honour to state that the Hon'ble Resident ~~has~~ has intimated ~~his~~ approval to the proposed employment of the Public Prosecutor of Kadur for the conduct of the case prosecution in the case referred to.

2. The Public Prosecutor should be asked to state in each case whether he is willing to undertake the prosecution and if so what fee he would charge for the same.

3. The original records received from the Magistrate herewith returned.

By order,

[signature]

for Secretary

J 1422

Ll. No 7

1903-1904

From

M. Narain Rao, Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esquire
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore (Govt & Rev. Depts.)

Dated 11th March, 1904.

Despatched 11th March, 1904.

Received

General No. 437

No.

Enclosure No.

Reporting the appointment of Mr S. V. Dasappa, Advocate as public prosecutor to conduct the case against G. H. Rama Rao & 2 others for preferring a fraudulent claim to the value of a currency note

Chitradurga, 11th March 1904.

From

M. Narain Rao Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
of the Kadur District

To

B. K. Venkatarangaiengar, Esq:
Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Jud. & Rev. Departments

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your docket No. 1544-9 of the 3rd Instant, in the matter of the fraudulent claim to the value of a currency note alleged by one J. N. Rama Rao and two others, I have the honor to report that the services of Mr. S. V. Devappa, Public Prosecutor, have been engaged in the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

M. Narain Rao

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Sl. No 8
Seen
23/3/04

Read:—

correspondence ending with letter No 437 dated 11th March 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, ~~Hassan~~ Kadur Dt, reporting that the services of Mr. T. V. Dasappa, Public Prosecutor, have been engaged for conducting the prosecution of J. H. Rama Rao and 2 others who are accused of preferring a fraudulent claim to the value of a currency note.

Order thereon:—

W. 15938 21/3/04 Recorded.

J

Secretary

To. The Deputy Commr
Kadur Dt

Sgnd. 23/3/04

Kt 16/3/04

No. 1496 Sl. No. 9 G. 1707

Deputy Commissioners' Office.
Nellore District.
Chittoor the 11th May 1907

From
M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner.
Nellore District

To
The Commissioner of
Salt Revenue, Madras
through the Secretary to the Govt.
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

In continuation of my letter
No. 1214 dated the 11th March 1907,
I have the honour to inform you
that K. Krishnaiah and Gundluri
Sidalappa were found guilty of
Abetment of selling under Sections 420, 511
and 109 of the Indian Penal
Code and ~~the~~ Sentenced by the
1st Assistant Commissioner and
Stipendiary Magistrate, Nellore
District, as follows:—
(1) K. Krishnaiah to suffer

suffer simple imprisonment till the rising of the court and to pay a fine of Rs. 80/- in default to suffer additional rigorous imprisonment for one month.

(2) G. Siddappa - To suffer rigorous imprisonment for 15 days and to pay a fine of Rs. 200 in default to suffer additional rigorous imprisonment for six months.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
(Sd) M. Narainrao
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Memo No. 2414. 14.5.04.

Forwarded (in triplicate) for information and necessary action to the Honorable the Resident in Mysore.

By order,
(Sd) L. Anandasami Rau
Asst. Secretary.

"Copy"